

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Misc. Docket No. 05- **9107**

APPROVAL OF ETHICAL GUIDELINES FOR MEDIATORS

The Supreme Court of Texas has long recognized the need for oversight of the quality of mediation in Texas. During the initial public debate of the issue, some mediation practitioners proposed adopting ethical rules of mediators to enhance the quality of Texas mediation and mediators. Others advocated mediation licensing or credentialing.

The Court determined that, at minimum, ethical rules should be implemented and enforced. Thus, the Court created the Advisory Committee on Court-Annexed Mediations to formulate mediation ethics rules that address, among other things, the avoidance and disclosure of conflicts of interest and the timely disclosure of fees.¹ The Court also instructed the Advisory Committee to study whether further oversight, such as licensing or credentialing, was warranted.

The Committee began its work by gathering relevant materials from various organizations throughout the country, including organizations unrelated to the practice of law and the justice system. These voluminous materials were reviewed by individual members and subcommittees for presentation to the full Committee. The Committee met formally numerous times, and, as a result of this work, the Committee proposed several recommendations to the Court.

Ultimately, the Committee concluded that there currently was no consensus within the mediation profession in Texas as to whether the Supreme Court should become involved in credentialing and/or registration of mediators. Therefore, the committee

¹Order Creating Advisory Committee on Court-Annexed Mediations, Misc. Docket No. 96-9125 (May 7, 1996). Members of the Committee were Tony Alvarado, Karl Bayer, Gary Condra, Herb Cook, Hon. Suzanne Covington, Claude Ducloux, Suzanne Duvall, John Estes, Hon. Frank Evans, Hon. Charles Gonzalez, Carol Hoffman, Dr. Lou Lasher, Bill Low, Hon. Tom McDonald, Hon. Margaret Mirabal, Lanelle Montgomery, William M. Morris, Hon. Jay Patterson, Ross Rommel, Michael J. Schless, Maxel "Bud" Silverberg, Rena Silverberg, Sid Stahl, Bruce Stratton, and Michael Wolf.

recommended that the Court take no action with regard to credentialing.

The Committee, however, concluded that there currently is consensus within the Texas mediation profession that the Court should promulgate ethical rules. Therefore, the committee recommended the Court adopt as its own aspirational guidelines those guidelines that the Alternative Dispute Resolution section of the State Bar of Texas has adopted.


The Court accepts this recommendation. The Court is committed to ensuring the continued quality of mediators and mediation services in Texas. Thus, the Court promulgates and adopts the attached Ethical Guidelines for Mediators.


These rules are aspirational. Compliance with the rules depends primarily upon understanding and voluntary compliance, secondarily upon reenforcement by peer pressure and public opinion, and finally when necessary by enforcement by the courts through their inherent powers and rules already in existence.


Moreover, counsel representing parties in the mediation of a pending case remain officers of the court in the same manner as if appearing in court. They are subject to the Texas Disciplinary Rules for Lawyers and any local rules or orders of the court regarding the mediation of pending cases. They should aspire during mediation to follow The Texas Lawyer's Creed—A Mandate for Professionalism. Counsel shall cooperate with the court and the mediator in the initiation and conduct of the mediation.


In Chambers, this 13th day of June, 2005.



Wallace B. Jefferson, Chief Justice



Nathan L. Hecht, Justice



Harriet O'Neill, Justice


J. Dale Wainwright, Justice


Scott Brister, Justice


David M. Medina, Justice


Paul W. Green, Justice


Phil Johnson, Justice